

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,947	10/02/2003	Masahiro Oshikiri	243352US2 SRD DIV	5891
22850	7590 04/04/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			MCFADDEN, SUSAN IRIS	
	VIA, VA 22314	-		PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/675,947	OSHIKIRI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Susan McFadden	2626			
The MA Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICHEVER - Extensions of tim after SIX (6) MOI - If NO period for r - Failure to reply w Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eply is specified above, the maximum statutory period writhin the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, and by the Office later than three months after the mailing ran adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Respon	sive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ma	<u>arch 2006</u> .				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
closed i	n accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	03 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Cl	aims					
4)⊠ Claim(s	☑ Claim(s) <u>12-18 and 20-25</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of th	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-18 and 20-25</u> is/are rejected.					
· ·) is/are objected to.					
8)∐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Pape	ers					
9)⊠ The spe	cification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drav	wing(s) filed on 02 October 2003 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner.			
Applican	t may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
•	ment drawing sheet(s) including the соггесti					
11)∐ The oath	n or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowl	ledgment is made of a claim for foreign b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
1.□ C	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	ertified copies of the priority documents	• •				
	opies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage			
	pplication from the International Bureau	, , , ,				
⁻ See the a	attached detailed Office action for a list	of the centiled copies not receive	;a.			
Attachment(s)						
	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
	closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)			



Application/Control Number: 10/675,947

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 12-14,18,20,21, and 23-25 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,470,310. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both contain a speech encoder that encodes the pitch period.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,947 Page 3

Art Unit: 2626

4. Claims 16,22, and 25 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,470,310. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both contain a speech encoder with and adaptive codebook which determines a search range for subframes in the current frame by using the predicted pitch period to select an adaptive vector with a period that minimizes an error between a target vector and a signal obtained by filtering an adaptive vector extracted from said adaptive codebook through a perceptually weighted synthesis filter.

5. Claims 12-15,17,18,20,21, and 23-24 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,704,702. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both contain a speech encoder that encodes the pitch period.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 16,22, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Oshikiri et al. (6,202,046)

Art Unit: 2626

The applied reference has common inventors with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

In regard to claims 16,22, and 25, Oshikiri et al. show a speech encoding system and method comprising the steps of: preparing an adaptive codebook storing a plurality of adaptive vectors generated by repeating a past excitation signal series at a period included in a predetermined range; dividing an input speech signal into frames each having a predetermined length, and further dividing a speech signal of each frame into subframes, obtaining a predicted pitch period of a subframe in a current frame by using pitch periods of at least two frames of the current frame to be encoded and past and future frames with respect to the current frame; and determining a search range for subframes in the current frame by using the predicted pitch period to select an adaptive vector with a period that minimizes an error between a target vector and a signal obtained by filtering an adaptive vector extracted from said adaptive codebook through a perceptually weighted synthesis filter (col. 5, In 1-60).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan McFadden whose telephone number is 571-272-7621. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,947 Page 5

Art Unit: 2626

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on 571-272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Susan McFadden Primary Examiner Art Unit 2626

March 31, 2006